IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.24302 of 2008
NEERAJ KUMAR JHA @ NEERAJ KUMAR
Versus
STATE OF BIHAR
---

2 7.7.2008. This is strange that inspite of recording the finding that the parties have compromised their dispute and also that the informant is residing with her family and also that she is now not willing to pursue the case registered for offence under Section 498A of the Indian Penal Code the Session Judge has rejected the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner who is husband of the informant. Rejecting application for anticipatory bail for the sake of rejection is not good for the judiciary and court below should consider the prayer for anticipatory bail only when there is reason for that.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case prayer for anticipatory bail is allowed. The petitioner namely Niraj Kumar Jha alias Neeraj Kumar is directed to surrender before the C.J.M. Darbhanga within four weeks from today and on his so surrendering he shall be enlarged on bail on his furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of C.J.M.Darbhanga in connection with Laheria Sarai P.S.Case no.65 of 2006 subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(Mridula Mishra, J)

Sss/-